



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

JW

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/036,458	03/06/98	ANGELOPOULOS	M Y0998-086

THOMAS A. BECK
26 ROCKLEDGE LANE
NEW MILFORD CT 06776

IM22/0524

EXAMINER	
YOON, T	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1714	14

DATE MAILED: 05/24/00

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- a) is extended to run _____ or continues to run 3 mos from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 5/12/00 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
- There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
 - They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
 - They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
 - They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
 - They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

4

2. Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: _____

Claims objected to: _____

Claims rejected: 1-21

However;

Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): _____

4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because _____
See attachment

5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.

Other

Tae Yoon
TAE YOON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 1714

ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

Contrary to applicant's assertion, F 113 has not been cancelled in the prior amendment.

All prior amendment except the line 11, page 15 (there is no "lowe") of the specification had been entered.

Contrary to applicant's assertion, Jonas clearly teaches fluorinated hydrocarbon solvents at col. 5, lines 30-31, and the recited "substantially maximize said electrical conductiviy" differs from "maximize said electrical conductiviy" stated by applicant in the response since said substantially implies a large margin or range of the electrical conductiviy from the maximum electrical conductiviy . Besides, said electrical conductiviy can be varied with a different degree of doping and/or stretching of the film and the instantly recited "A method **comprising**" permits any treatment, and the solution is non-electrical conductive.

With respect to Traynor, Ikenaga et al, Tan et al and EP 0315514 , see Office Action mailed on February 26, 1999, and the above response. For example, the example 6 of Ikenaga et al meets the instant invention and applicant failed to show otherwise. The "substantially maximized electrical conductiviy" is an inherent property of the cited art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30.

Art Unit: 1714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

THY/May 22, 2000



TAE YOON
PRIMARY EXAMINER